

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,437	08/27/2003	Ronald Paul Wright	030134 (BLL-0103)	7250
7590 09/30/2005 Philmore H. Colburn II Cantor Colburn LLP 55 Griffin Road South			EXAMINER	
			RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bloomfield, CT 06002			2643	
		DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/650,437	WRIGHT, RONALD PAUL	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Melur Ramakrishnaiah	2643	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
Period fo	• •		•	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAILING D	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Au	<u>ugust 2003</u> .		
2a) <u></u> □	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.			
3)				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachmen	t(s)			
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 8-27-2003.	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 7-8, 10-11, 15, 17, 19, 21, 25, are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Brouwer et al. (US2004/0057425A1, Provisional application No. 60/413,386, filed Sep. 25, 2002, hereinafter Brouwer).

Regarding claim 1, Brouwer discloses a method of providing a regional E911 network, the method comprising: assigning public safety answering points (PSAPs) to ports located in a telephone network (fig. 1), wherein each of the port is associated with a calling party number (CPN) and a geographic location, identifying an incoming emergency call from an IP device (for example, 36/38, fig. 1), the IP device corresponding to a unique machine access code address and the incoming emergency call including CPN, determining which the port is an entry port associated with the IP device, wherein input to the determining includes the unique machine access code address and the incoming CPN, connecting the emergency call to one of the PSAPs (16 ... 18; 30 ... 32, fig. 1) corresponding to the entry port, and transmitting the CPN and the geographic location data to one of PSAPs corresponding to the entry port (figs. 1-3, paragraphs: 0002, 005 –0018).

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 15. Brouwer discloses a system for providing a regional E911 network, the system comprising; one or more PSAPs (16 ... 18; 30 ... 32, fig. 1), a switch (20/42, fig. 1), an ISCP including a regional database (46, fig. 1) a router (not shown) including one or ports and in communication with switch, an IP device (for example 22/24, fig. 1) in communication with one of the ports in the router, a network location server (reads on 23) including a local ALI database (26, fig. 1), the NLS in communication with the PSAP, the ISCP and switch, wherein NLS includes instructions to implement a method comprising: identifying incoming emergency call from the IP device (for example 38, fig. 1), the IP device corresponding to to a unique machine access code address and the incoming emergency call including incoming CPN, determining which the port is an entry associated with the IP device, where input to the determining includes the unique machine access code address and the incoming CPN, connecting incoming emergency call to the one of the PSAPs (16 ... 18; 30 ... 32, fig. 1) corresponding to the entry port, and transmitting the CPN and the geographic location data to one of the PSAPs corresponding to the entry port (figs. 1-3, paragraphs: 0002, 005 - 0018).

Regarding claim 25, Brouwer discloses a computer program product for providing a regional E911 network, the computer program product comprising: a storage medium readable by a processing circuit and storing instructions for executing by the processing circuit for facilitating a method comprising: assigning public safety answering points (PSAPs, fig. 1) to ports located in a telephone network, wherein each port is associated with a calling party number (CPN) and a geographic location, identifying an incoming

Art Unit: 2643

emergency call from an IP device (like 38, fig. 1), the IP device corresponding to a unique machine access code address and the incoming emergency call including an incoming CPN, determining which the port is an entry port with the IP device, wherein input to determining includes the unique machine access code address and the incoming CPN, connecting the incoming emergency call to one of the PSAPs corresponding to the entry port, and transmitting the CPN and the geographic location data to one of the PSAPs corresponding to the entry port (figs. 1-3, paragraphs: 0002, 005 –0018).

Regarding claims 7-8, 10-11, 17, 19, 21, Brouwer further teaches the following: incoming emergency call is from an advanced featured customer, incoming call is from an E911 service handling customer (paragraph: 0006), geographic location data is an emergency location identification number, geographic data is geodetic (paragraph: 0017), NLS switch, a gateway server (reads on 23, fig. 1) network file server (reads on 44, fig. 1) and a call management server (44, fig. 1), switch is a class 5 switch, IP device (22/36, fig. 1) is a wired telephone.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brouwer in view of Clise et al. (US PAT: 6.064,722, hereinafter Clise).

Art Unit: 2643

Brouwer differs from claims 2 and 16 in that although he teaches searching a local location information database (ALI) database corresponding to incoming CPN and to the machine access code address as shown in fig. 2; he does not teach the following: searching for this in a regional ALI database if it is not in a local ALI database.

However, Clise discloses data request router for use with emergency public safety answering point systems which teaches the following: obtaining information from an alternate information source if primary database is unable provide required information to PSAP (fig. 1, col. 5 lines 26-36).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Brouwer's system to provide for the following: searching for this in a regional ALI database if it is not in a local ALI database as this arrangement would facilitate PSAP to obtain information from alternate data sources to handle emergency call as taught by Clise.

5. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brouwer in view of Clise as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Aprile (US PAT: 6,363,138).

Regarding claims 3-6, the combination does not explicitly teach the following:

Local ALI/regional database is updated in response to the IP device being

connected/disconnected to/from one of the ports.

However, Aprile discloses E-911/ALI information manager and management system which teaches the following: updating the ALI database in response to information changes (see abstract).

Art Unit: 2643

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Brouwer's system to provide for the following: Local ALI/regional database is updated in response to the IP device being connected/disconnected to/from one of the ports as this arrangement would facilitate to keep track of transactions happening in order to correctly process 911 calls as taught by Aprile.

6. Claims 9, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brouwer in view of Horton et al. (US PAT: 6,041,222, hereinafter Horton).

Regarding claims 9, 12, the combination does not teach the following: emergency call is from a wireless device, gedetic data includes GPS data.

However, Horton teaches the following: emergency call is from a wireless device, gedetic data includes GPS data (col. 4 lines 43-54).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Brouwer's system to provide for the following: emergency call is from a wireless device, gedetic data includes GPS data as this arrangement would facilitate making emergency calls from an automobile which is involved in an accident as taught by Horton, thus facilitating the user to summon help in the event of an emergency.

7. Claims 20, 22, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brouwer in view of Zellner et al. (US PAT: 6,807,564, hereinafter Zellner).

Regarding claims 20 and 22, Brouwer does not teach the following: IP device is a wireless telephone/cellular telephone.

Art Unit: 2643

However, Zellner teaches the following: IP device is a wireless telephone/cellular telephone (col. 6 lines 4-8).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Brouwer's system to provide for the following: IP device is a wireless telephone/cellular telephone as this arrangement would facilitate to provide for other well-known devices for emergency use as taught by Zellner.

8. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brouwer in view of Salvucci et al. (US PAT: 6,775,356, hereinafter Salvucci).

Regarding claims 13-14, Brouwer does not teach the following: geodectic data includes location code including a country field, a state field, a mile field etc, where the country field is three digits, the state field is three digits, etc.

However, Salvucci discloses real-time incident and response information messaging which teaches the following: ALI database which includes Emergency Service Number (ESN) which is a three digit code that can represent a geopolitical jurisdiction (col. 4 lines 27-39).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Brouwer's system to provide for the following: geodectic data includes location code including a country field, a state field, a mile field etc, where the country field is three digits, the state field is three digits, etc. as this arrangement would facilitate representing various emergency location related information by using codes to represent various information as taught by Salvucci which results in compact storing of information as is well known in the art.

Art Unit: 2643

9. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brouwer in view of Cruickshank (US PAT: 6,704,294, filed 10-13-1999).

Regarding claim 18, Brouwer does not teach the following: firewall in communication with a router and the switch.

However, Cruickshank teaches the following: firewall in communication with a router and the switch (fig. 5 col. 5 lines 60-66).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Brouwer's system to provide for the following: firewall in communication with a router and the switch as this arrangement would provide required arrangement to filter the data addressed to computing devices to protect them from hostile sources as is well known in the art.

10. Claims 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brouwer in Contractor et al. (US PAT: 6,427,001 B1, hereinafter Contractor).

Regarding claims 23-24, the Brouwer does not teach the following: switch uses SS7 signaling, connecting the incoming emergency call to one of the PSAPs is performed using SS7.

However, Contractor discloses system and method for notification of 911 telephone calls using link monitoring system which teaches the following: switch uses SS7 signaling, connecting the incoming emergency call to one of the PSAPs is performed using SS7 (fig. 1, col. 5 lines 4-20).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Brouwer's system to provide for the following: switch

Art Unit: 2643

performed using SS7 as this arrangement would provide means for verifying that

resources are available for completing the call by using well known scheme of SS7

uses SS7 signaling, connecting the incoming emergency call to one of the PSAPs is

signaling before actual switch resources are committed for completing the call, thus

contributing to the efficiency of call handling.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is

(571)272-8098. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 Hr schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Curt Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melur Ramakrishnaiah

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2643